

Hydrogenic atoms

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr^2} + \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} (E - V_{\text{eff}}(r)) u(r) = 0,$$

$$u(r) = r \psi(r), \quad \underline{\Psi}(r, \theta, \phi) = \psi(r) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$$

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = -\frac{Ze^2}{r} + \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2}$$

Coulomb attraction
between electron
and nucleus of
charge Ze .

centrifugal
barrier

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} V_{\text{eff}}(r) = 0$$

B.C.s: $u(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} 0$ $u(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} 0$

(for bound states)

$$r \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr^2} \approx -\frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} E u(r)$$

(2)

For bound states, $E < 0$:

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr^2} \approx \frac{2\mu |E|}{\hbar^2} u$$

$$u(r) \underset{r \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} A e^{-\lambda r}$$

($e^{+\lambda r}$ does not obey B.C.s)

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{2\mu |E|}$$

$$r \rightarrow 0$$

$$\frac{d^2 u}{dr^2} \approx \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} u(r)$$

Try $u(r) \sim B r^p$

$$B p(p-1) r^{p-2} \approx \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} B r^p$$

$$p(p-1) = l(l+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow p = -l \text{ or } p = l + 1$$

3

$U(r) \sim r^{-l}$ would violate B.C. for $r \rightarrow 0$, so we must choose

$$U(r) \sim Br^{l+1}, \quad r \rightarrow 0$$

Change to dimensionless variable

$$\text{Let } \rho = 2\lambda r$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d^2 u}{d\rho^2} - \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} - \frac{n}{\rho} + \frac{1}{4} \right] u(\rho) = 0,$$

$$\text{where } n = \frac{\mu Z e^2}{\hbar^2 \lambda}.$$

Power series solution

4

Factoring out the known asymptotic behavior, let us try for a solution of the form

$$u(s) = e^{-s/2} s^{l+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j s^j$$

$$= e^{-s/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j s^{j+l+1}$$

$$\frac{du}{ds} = -\frac{1}{2}u + e^{-s/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+l+1) a_j s^{j+l}$$

$$\frac{d^2u}{ds^2} = \frac{1}{4}u - e^{-s/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+l+1) a_j s^{j+l}$$

$$+ e^{-s/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+l+1)(j+l) a_j s^{j+l-1}$$

$$\bullet \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &[(j+l+1)(j+l) - l(l+1)] a_j s^{j+l-1} \\ &- (j+l+1-n) a_j s^{j+l} \end{aligned} \right\} = 0 \quad \boxed{5}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &j(j+2l+1) a_j s^{j+l-1} \\ &- (j+l+1-n) a_j s^{j+l} \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

$$\bullet \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s^{j+l} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &(j+1)(j+2l+2) a_{j+1} \\ &- (j+l+1-n) a_j \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

$$\frac{a_{j+1}}{a_j} = \frac{j+l+1-n}{(j+1)(j+2l+2)}$$

$$\bullet \frac{a_{j+1}}{a_j} \underset{j \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{j}$$

• Note that the series expansion 6
of $e^{\rho} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^j}{j!}$ has

$$\frac{a_{j+1}}{a_j} = \frac{j!}{(j+1)!} = \frac{1}{j+1}$$

• Thus, if the series does not terminate at some finite power $\rho^{j_{\max}}$, we will have

$$U(\rho) \underset{\rho \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} e^{-\rho/2} \rho^{l+1} e^{\rho} = e^{\rho/2} \rho^{l+1} \rightarrow \infty$$

• This is just the discarded diverging solution. only a finite

order power series is allowed. 7

$$0 = \frac{a_{j_{\max}+1}}{a_{j_{\max}}} = \frac{j_{\max} + l + 1 - n}{- \dots}$$

$$j_{\max} = n - l - 1$$

$$l \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad j_{\max} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

\Rightarrow series only terminates if

$$n = \frac{\mu Z e^2}{\hbar^2 \lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$n = j_{\max} + l + 1, \quad j_{\max} = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$$

$=$ "principal quantum #"

$$\bullet \lambda = \frac{\mu Z e^2}{\hbar^2 n}$$

$$E = -\frac{\hbar^2 \lambda^2}{2\mu}$$

Energy levels

$$\bullet E_n = -\frac{\mu e^4}{2\hbar^2} \frac{Z^2}{n^2}, \quad n=1, 2, \dots, \infty$$

(independent of quantum #s l and m)

$$\frac{\mu e^4}{2\hbar^2} = 13.6 \text{ eV}$$

Degeneracy

For a given n , l can take the values $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

• For each value of l , m can take the values $-l, -l+1, \dots, l$.

$$d(n) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (2l+1)$$

[9

$$= 1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + 2n-1$$

$$= n \frac{2n}{2} = n^2$$

The $(2l+1)$ -fold degeneracy of each l -value holds for any

• spherically symmetric potential, while the n -fold degeneracy of the l -values is special to the Coulomb potential, for which the Hamiltonian possesses $O(4)$ symmetry.

Relation to Bohr

(10)

- These are the same energy levels derived by Bohr from the condition $mvr = n\hbar$ for circular orbits.

- For such an orbit lying in the xy -plane, one would have $L_z = l\hbar = n\hbar$,

or $l = n$, whereas from the full quantum solution, we find

- $$l \leq n - 1$$

Furthermore, Bohr's model
does not allow for orbits
with $l=0$. Thus Bohr's
model does not correctly

describe the physics of
hydrogenic atoms, and it
is something of a coincidence
that his model led to
the correct energy levels.

11