

Equations of Motion for Operators

Two ways to define $f(\hat{A})$:

$$f(\hat{A}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \hat{A}^n \quad (\text{Taylor series})$$

$$f(\hat{A}) = \sum_{\alpha} f(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \quad (\text{spectral rep.})$$

Here $\hat{A}|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$. The latter definition also works for functions lacking a Taylor expansion, e.g.,

$$\delta(x - \hat{A}) = \sum_{\alpha} \delta(x - \alpha) |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$$

For time evolution, we are particularly interested in the exp. function:

$$e^{\lambda \hat{A}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \hat{A}^n$$

Care must be taken with functions \mathbb{Z} of operators that don't commute:

$$e^{\hat{A} + \hat{B}} \neq e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} \quad \text{if} \quad [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \neq 0.$$

For the special case where $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ is a c-number, we have

$$e^{\hat{A} + \hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} e^{-[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]/2} \quad \text{Weyl's formula.}$$

Time-evolution

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}(t) |\psi(t)\rangle$$

Let $|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t) |\psi(0)\rangle$. $\hat{U}(t)$ obeys

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{U}(t) = \hat{H}(t) \hat{U}(t)$$

i) Consider the case where \hat{H} is independent of t .

$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp\left(-i \frac{\hat{H} t}{\hbar}\right)$$

$$\langle \psi(t) | = \langle \psi(0) | e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$$

(3)

Expectation values

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{A} \rangle_t &= \langle \psi(t) | \hat{A} | \psi(t) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(0) | e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \hat{A} e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi(0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Heisenberg picture

So far, we have been working in the Schrödinger picture, where quantum states evolve in time according to the Sch. eq., and operators are typically time-independent. In the Heisenberg picture, it is the other way around:

$$\text{Define } \hat{A}_H(t) \equiv e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \hat{A} e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$$

$$\text{Then } \langle \hat{A} \rangle_t = \langle \psi(0) | \hat{A}_H(t) | \psi(0) \rangle$$

Heisenberg equation of motion

(4)

$$i\hbar \frac{d\hat{A}_H(t)}{dt} = e^{i\frac{\hat{H}t}{\hbar}} \left[-\hat{H}\hat{A} + \hat{A}\hat{H} + i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{A}}{\partial t} \right] e^{-i\frac{\hat{H}t}{\hbar}}$$
$$= [\hat{A}_H(t), \hat{H}] + i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{A}_H}{\partial t}$$

lets drop this
for now

What about \hat{H} itself?

If \hat{H} is indep. of t in the Sch. picture, then

$$\hat{H}_H(t) = e^{+i\frac{\hat{H}t}{\hbar}} \hat{H} e^{-i\frac{\hat{H}t}{\hbar}} = \hat{H}$$

\hat{H} is a constant of the motion.

Particle in 3D

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + V(\vec{r}) = \frac{\vec{P}_H^2}{2m} + \hat{V}_H(\vec{r}, t)$$

$$\frac{d\vec{r}_H(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\vec{r}_H(t), \hat{H}]$$

$$[\hat{r}_i(t), \hat{p}_j(t)] = e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} (\hat{r}_i \hat{p}_j - \hat{p}_j \hat{r}_i) e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \quad (5)$$

$$= i\hbar \delta_{ij} \quad \text{same as in Sch. picture.}$$

(not true if not at equal times)

$$\frac{d\vec{r}_H(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left[\vec{r}_H(t), \frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{2m} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i\hbar m} \left(\vec{p}_H [\vec{r}_H, \vec{p}_H] + [\vec{r}_H, \vec{p}_H] \vec{p}_H \right)$$

$$\boxed{\frac{d\vec{r}_H(t)}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}_H(t)}{m}}$$

$$\frac{d\vec{p}_H(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\vec{p}_H(t), \hat{H}] = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\vec{p}_H(t), V(\vec{r}_H(t))]$$

$$= \frac{1}{i\hbar} e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \underbrace{[\vec{p}, V(\vec{r})]}_{\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla V(\vec{r})} e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{d\vec{p}_H(t)}{dt} = -\nabla V_H(\vec{r}(t))}$$

The operators obey the classical [6] equations of motion in the Heisenberg picture. The Ehrenfest theorem then follows trivially.

Generally speaking, the Heisenberg eqs of motion are harder to solve than the classical eqs of motion due to the non-commutativity of the operators. However, they do permit explicit solutions for some simple cases.

a) free particle $\hat{V}_H = 0$

$$\frac{d\vec{P}_H}{dt} = 0$$

$$\vec{P}_H(t) = \text{const.}$$

$$\vec{r}_H(t) = \vec{r}_H(0) + \frac{\vec{P}_H}{m} t$$

b) Harmonic oscillator

7

$$\frac{d x_H}{d t} = \frac{p_H(t)}{m}$$

$$\frac{d p_H(t)}{d t} = -m \omega^2 x_H(t)$$

Solution:

$$x_H(t) = x(0) \cos \omega t + \frac{p(0)}{m \omega} \sin \omega t$$

$$p_H(t) = p(0) \cos \omega t - m \omega x(0) \sin \omega t,$$

exactly as for the classical oscillator.

$$i\hbar) \hat{H}_s = \hat{H}_s(t)$$

e.g.
$$\hat{H}_s = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{r}) - \vec{F}(t) \cdot \hat{r}$$

$\vec{F}(t)$ = t-dep. external driving force

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{d t} \hat{U}(t) = \hat{H}_s(t) \hat{U}(t)$$

same as before

and

$$\hat{U}(0) = \mathbb{1}.$$

8

Let us construct the Heisenberg rep. in this case.

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle_t = \langle \psi(t) | \hat{A} | \psi(t) \rangle = \langle \psi(0) | \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{A} \hat{U}(t) | \psi(0) \rangle$$

$$\hat{A}_H(t) \equiv \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{A} \hat{U}(t).$$

To find the eq. of motion, differentiate:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{A}_H(t) = i\hbar \frac{d\hat{U}^\dagger(t)}{dt} \hat{A} \hat{U}(t) + \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{A} \left(i\hbar \frac{d\hat{U}(t)}{dt} \right)$$

$$\left(\text{assuming } \frac{\partial \hat{A}}{\partial t} = 0 \right).$$

$$-i\hbar \frac{d\hat{U}^\dagger(t)}{dt} = \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{H}_S(t)$$

$$i\hbar \frac{d\hat{A}_H}{dt} = \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \left[-\hat{H}_S(t) \hat{A} + \hat{A} \hat{H}_S(t) \right] \hat{U}(t)$$

$$= \left[\hat{A}_H(t), \hat{H}_H(t) \right],$$

where $\hat{H}_H(t) \equiv \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \hat{H}_S(t) \hat{U}(t) \neq \hat{H}_S(t)$
in general.

$\hat{H}_H(t)$ is no longer a constant of the motion, but obeys

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{H}_H(t)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \underbrace{[\hat{H}_H(t), \hat{H}_H(t)]}_0 + \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \frac{\partial \hat{H}_S(t)}{\partial t} \hat{U}(t) \\ &= \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \frac{\partial \hat{H}_S(t)}{\partial t} \hat{U}(t) \equiv \frac{\partial \hat{H}_H(t)}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

as in classical mechanics.

For the example Hamiltonian,

$$\frac{d\vec{r}_H(t)}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}_H(t)}{m}$$

$$\frac{d\vec{p}_H(t)}{dt} = -\nabla V_H(\vec{r}_H(t)) + \vec{F}(t)$$

Formal solution for $\hat{U}(t)$ in the 10
case of a t -dep. \hat{H} .

$$i\hbar \frac{d\hat{U}(t)}{dt} = \hat{H}_s(t) \hat{U}(t), \quad \hat{U}(0) = \mathbb{1}$$

$$\hat{U}(\Delta t) \approx \mathbb{1} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}_s(0) \Delta t$$

$$\hat{U}(2\Delta t) \approx \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}_s(\Delta t) \Delta t \right) \hat{U}(\Delta t)$$

⋮

$$\hat{U}(N\Delta t) \approx \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{i\Delta t}{\hbar} \hat{H}_s(n\Delta t) \right),$$

where later terms are ordered to the left
in the product. Taking the
limit where $\Delta t = t/N$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\hat{U}(t) = \mathcal{T} \left(\exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt \hat{H}_s(t) \right] \right),$$

where

$$T(\hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t')) = \begin{cases} \hat{A}(t)\hat{B}(t'), & t \geq t' \\ \hat{B}(t')\hat{A}(t), & t' > t \end{cases}$$

denotes the time-ordered product.