Theory of Metastability in Simple Metal Nanowires
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Thermally induced conductance jumps of metal nanowires are modeled using stochastic Ginzburg-Landau field theories. Changes in radius are predicted to occur via the nucleation of surface kinks at the wire ends, consistent with recent electron microscopy studies. The activation rate displays nontrivial dependence on nanowire length, and undergoes first- or second-order-like transitions as a function of length. The activation barriers of the most stable structures are predicted to be universal, i.e., independent of the radius of the wire, and proportional to the square root of the surface tension. The reduction of the activation barrier under strain is also determined.
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Metal nanowires have attracted considerable interest in the past decade due to their remarkable transport and structural properties [1]. Long gold and silver nanowires were observed to form spontaneously under electron irradiation [2–4], and appear to be surprisingly stable; even the thinnest gold wires, essentially a chain of atoms, have lifetimes of the order of seconds at room temperature [5]. Nanowires formed from alkali metals are significantly less long-lived, but exhibit striking correlations between their stability and electrical conductance [6,7]. That these filamentary structures are stable at all is rather counterintuitive [8,9], but can be explained by electron-shell effects [6–10]. Nonetheless, these nanostructures are only metastable, and understanding their lifetimes is of fundamental interest both for their potential applications in nanoelectronics and as an interesting problem in nanoscale nonlinear dynamics. In this Letter, we introduce a continuum approach to study the lifetimes of monovalent metal nanowires. Our starting point is the nanoscale free-electron model [11], in which the ionic medium is treated as an incompressible continuum, and electron-confinement effects are treated exactly, or through a semiclassical approximation [8–10]. This approach is most appropriate [9] for studying simple metals, whose properties are determined largely by the conduction-band s electrons, and for nanowires of “intermediate” thickness: thin enough so that electron-shell effects dominate the energetics, but not so thin that a continuum approach is unjustified. The inclusion of thermal fluctuations is modeled using a stochastic Ginzburg-Landau classical field theory, which provides a self-consistent description of the fluctuation-induced thinning/growth of nanowires.

Our theory provides quantitative estimates of the lifetimes for alkali-metal nanowires with electrical conductance $G$ in the range $3 \leq G / G_0 \leq 100$, where $G_0 = 2e^2 / h$ is the conductance quantum. In addition, we predict a universality of the typical escape barrier for a given metal, independent of the wire radius, with a value proportional to $\sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the surface tension of the material. Our model can therefore account qualitatively for the large difference in the observed stability of alkali-metal versus noble-metal nanowires. It also predicts a sharp decrease of the escape barrier under strain.

We consider a cylindrical wire suspended between two metallic electrodes, with which it can exchange both atoms and electrons. The resulting energetics is described through anionic grand-canonical potential

$$\Omega_\alpha = \Omega_e - \mu_\alpha N_\alpha. \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega_e$ is the free energy for a fixed number $N_\alpha$ of atoms and $\mu_\alpha$ is the chemical potential for a surface atom in the electrodes. In the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, $\Omega_e$ is just the electronic grand-canonical potential, and can be written as a Weyl expansion plus an electron-shell correction [10]

$$\Omega_e = -\omega V + \sigma S + \int_0^L dz V[R(z)]. \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{V}, S, and L$ are, respectively, the volume, surface area, and length of the wire, $\omega$ and $\sigma$ are material-dependent coefficients, and $V(R)$, shown in Fig. 1, is a mesoscopic electron-shell potential [10] that describes electronic quantum-size effects.

In the presence of thermal noise, a wire’s radius will fluctuate as a function of time $t$ and position $z$ measured...
along the wire’s axis: $R(z, t) = \bar{R} + \phi(z, t)$ for a wire of radius $\bar{R}$ at zero temperature. The wire energy (1) may be expanded as

$$\Omega_a(\bar{R}, \phi) = \Omega_a(\bar{R}) + \mathcal{H}[\phi],$$

where $\mathcal{H}[\phi]$ is the energy of the fluctuations. Keeping only the lowest-order terms in $\partial_z \phi$, one finds

$$\mathcal{H}[\phi] = \int_0^L dz \left[ \frac{\kappa}{2} (\partial_z \phi)^2 + U(\phi) \right],$$

where $\kappa = 2\pi \sigma \bar{R}$ and $U(\phi)$ is an effective potential.

A (meta)stable nanowire is in a state of diffusive equilibrium:

$$\mu_a = \mu_{cyl}(\bar{R}) = \gamma_a \left( \frac{\sigma}{\bar{R}} - \omega + \frac{1}{2\pi \bar{R}} \frac{dV(\bar{R})}{d\bar{R}} \right),$$

where $\mu_{cyl}$ is the chemical potential of a surface atom in a cylindrical wire and $\gamma_a$ is the volume of an atom. Using Eq. (5) in Eq. (1), one finds the effective potential

$$U(\phi) = V(\bar{R}) + \frac{\pi \sigma}{\bar{R}} \phi^3 - \left( \phi + \frac{\phi^2}{2\bar{R}} \right) \frac{dV}{d\bar{R}}.$$ (6)

A stable wire must satisfy $U'(0) = 0$ and $U'(0) > 0$. The first condition is satisfied automatically. The second condition is equivalent to the requirement $d\mu_{cyl}/d\bar{R} > 0$, and was previously used to determine the linear stability of metal nanowires [9]. The most stable wires correspond to the minima of $V(\bar{R})$ (cf. Fig. 1); however, the stable zones span finite intervals of radius about the minima [9].

The radius fluctuations $\phi(z, t)$ due to thermal noise can be treated as a classical field on $[0, L]$, with dynamics governed by the stochastic Ginzburg-Landau (GL) equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi(z, t)}{\partial t} = \kappa \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi} + (2T)^{1/2} \xi(z, t),$$

where $\xi(z, t)$ is unit-strength spatiotemporal white noise. The zero-noise dynamics is gradient, that is, $\phi = -\delta \mathcal{H}/\delta \phi$ at zero temperature. In (7), time is measured in units of a microscopic time scale describing the short-wavelength cutoff of the surface dynamics [5,9] which is of order the inverse Debye frequency $\nu_0^{-1}$.

At nonzero temperature, thermal fluctuations can drive a nanowire to escape from the metastable configuration $\phi = 0$, leading to a finite lifetime of such a nanostructure. The escape process occurs via nucleation of a “droplet” of one stable configuration in the background of the other, subsequently quickly spreading to fill the entire spatial domain. A transition from one metastable state to another [12] must proceed via a pathway of states, accessed through random thermal fluctuations, that first goes “uphill” in energy from the starting configuration. Because these fluctuations are exponentially suppressed as their energy increases, there is at low temperature a preferred transition configuration (saddle) that lies between adjacent minima. The activation rate is given in the $T \to 0$ limit by the Kramers formula [13]

$$\Gamma \sim \Gamma_0 \exp(-\Delta E/T).$$ (8)

Here $\Delta E$ is the activation barrier, the difference in energy between the saddle and the starting metastable configuration, and $\Gamma_0$ is the rate prefactor.

The quantities $\Delta E$ and $\Gamma_0$ depend on the microscopic parameters of the nanowire through $\kappa$ and the details of the potential (6), on the length $L$ of the wire, and on the choice of boundary conditions at the end points $z = 0$ and $z = L$.

Simulations of the structural dynamics under surface self-diffusion [10] suggest that the connection of the wire to the electrodes is best described by Neumann boundary conditions, $\partial \phi \big|_{0,L} = 0$. These boundary conditions force nucleation to begin at the end points, consistent with experimental observations [4].

The saddle configurations are time-independent solutions of the zero-noise GL equation [13], and can be obtained by numerical integration of Eq. (7) at $T = 0$. However, we find that for many of the metastable wires, the effective potential $U(\phi)$ can be approximated locally by a cubic potential

$$U^{(-)}(\phi) = -\alpha \phi^2 + \frac{\beta}{3} (\phi^2)^3.$$ (9)

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$. The potential $U^{-}$ ($U^{(+)}$) biases fluctuations toward smaller (larger) radii. It is useful to scale out the various constants in the model by introducing the dimensionless variables $x = z/L_0$ and $u = (\beta/\alpha)^{1/2} \phi$, where $L_0 = \kappa^{1/2}/(\beta \alpha)^{1/4}$ and $E_0 = \kappa^{1/2} \alpha^{3/4}/\beta^{3/4}$ are characteristic length and energy scales. The energy functional then becomes

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}[u]}{E_0} = \int_0^L \left[ \frac{1}{2} (u')^2 - u + \frac{1}{3} u^3 \right] dx,$$ (10)

where $\ell = L/L_0$ and $u' = \partial u/\partial x$.

Metastable and saddle configurations are stationary functions of Eq. (10), and therefore obey the Euler-Lagrange equation $u'' = -1 + u^2$. With Neumann boundary conditions, the uniform stable state is the constant state $u_s = +1$, and there exists a uniform unstable state $u_u = -1$. We will see that the latter is the saddle for $\ell < \ell_c = \pi/\sqrt{2}$. At $\ell_c$, a transition occurs [14], and above it the saddle is nonuniform. It consists of an “instanton” localized at one end of the wire, and is given by [15]

$$u(x) = \frac{2 - m}{\sqrt{\xi(m)}} - \frac{3}{\sqrt{\xi(m)}} \text{dn}^2 \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\xi(m)}} \right) \bigg| m \bigg),$$ (11)

where $\text{dn}(\cdot \mid m)$ is the Jacobi elliptic $\text{dn}$ function with parameter $m$, with $0 \leq m \leq 1$, and $\xi(m) = m^2 - m + 1$. Its half period is given by $K(m)$, the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, a monotonically increasing function of $m$. Equation (11) satisfies the Neumann boundary condition when
\[ \ell = \sqrt[4]{2\xi(m)^{1/4}K(m)}, \]  

which (taking \( m \to 0^+ \)) leads to \( \ell_c = \pi/\sqrt{2} \). As \( \ell \to \ell_c^- \), 
\( \text{dn}(x|0) \to 1 \), and the nonuniform saddle reduces to the uniform state \( u_u = -1 \). This is the saddle for all \( \ell < \ell_c \).

When the saddle is constant (\( \ell \leq \ell_c \)), the activation barrier scales linearly with (reduced) length \( \ell \): \( \Delta E/E_0 = (4/3)\ell \). Above \( \ell_c \), it is expressible in terms of the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind \( K(m) \) and the second kind \( E(m) \):

\[
\Delta E/E_0 = \left[ \frac{2 - 3m - 3m^2 + 2m^3}{3\xi(m)^{3/2}} \right]^{1/2} - 2^3 + \frac{6^{3/2}}{5\xi(m)^{1/2}} \left[ 2E(m) - \frac{(2-m)(1-m)}{\xi(m)}K(m) \right].
\]

As \( \ell \to \infty \) (corresponding to \( m \to 1^- \)), \( \Delta E/E_0 \to 12\sqrt{2}/5 \). More generally, we denote the asymptotic value \( \Gamma_0^c \) of the prefactor \( \Gamma_0 \) at which the transition takes place. The activation barrier for the entire range of \( \ell \) is shown in Fig. 2.

Calculation of the prefactor \( \Gamma_0 \) in the Kramers transition rate formula is a much more involved matter. It generally requires an analysis of the transverse fluctuations about the extremal solutions. The general method for determining \( \Gamma_0 \) has been discussed elsewhere [14,15]; here, we just present results (in units of the Debye frequency \( \nu_D \)). For \( \ell < \ell_c \), we find

\[ \Gamma_0^c = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin(\ell\sqrt{2})}{\sin(\ell/2)}, \]

which diverges as \( \ell \to \ell_c^- \), with a critical exponent of 1/2. The divergence arises from a soft mode; one of the eigenmodes corresponding to small fluctuations about the saddle has vanishing eigenvalue at \( \ell_c \). This divergence, and its meaning, are discussed in detail in [15].

For \( \ell > \ell_c \), the prefactor is

\[ \Gamma_0^\ell = \frac{2 - m + 2\sqrt{4m^2 - m + 1}}{4\pi\xi(m)^{3/8}} \times \left[ \frac{(1-m)\sinh(2\xi(m)^{1/4}K(m))}{\xi(m)E(m) - (1-m)(2-m)K(m)/2} \right]. \]

This also exhibits a divergence with a critical exponent of 1/2 as \( \ell \to \ell_c^+ \). The prefactor over the entire range of \( \ell \) is shown in Fig. 2.

The second-order-like transition in activation behavior exhibited in Fig. 2 is interesting, but generally holds only for transitions where the potential \( U(\phi) \) can be locally approximated by a smooth potential of quartic or lower order [15]. For some of the minima of Fig. 1, this is not the case, and the wire instead exhibits one or more first-order-like transitions [16], as shown in the inset of Fig. 2.

Figure 3 shows the activation barrier \( \Delta E_\infty \) as a function of radius \( R \) for a typical metastable wire, corresponding to the conductance plateau at \( G = 17G_0 \) in Au. Very good agreement is found between the numerical result for the full potential (6) (solid curve) and the result from Eq. (13) using the best cubic-polynomial fit to \( U(\phi) \). The wire radius is related to the tensile stress (upper axis).
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**FIG. 2.** The activation energy \( \Delta E \) as a function of the wire length \( L \), for the cubic potential with Neumann boundary conditions (top). The dashed line indicates the critical wire length \( L_c \) at which the transition takes place. The bottom panel shows the prefactor \( \Gamma_0 \), and the inset displays the activation barrier for the full potential \( U(\phi) \) for \( k_fR = 12.79 \), exhibiting a succession of first order phase transitions.
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**FIG. 3.** The activation energy \( \Delta E_\infty \) as a function of radius for a typical stable zone in Au. Solid curve: numerical result for the full potential \( U(\phi) \), Eq. (6); dashed curve: result from Eq. (13) using the best cubic-polynomial fit to \( U(\phi) \). The wire radius is related to the tensile stress (upper axis).
125 K. This behavior can explain the observed temperature dependence of conductance histograms for Na nanowires [6], which show clear peaks at conductances near the predicted values at temperatures below 100 K, but were not reported at higher temperatures. The increase of $L_c$ with $G$, shown in Table I, may also explain the observed exponential decrease in the heights of the conductance peaks with increasing conductance [6], since the thicker contacts are more likely to be shorter than $L_c$, and hence to have exponentially reduced lifetimes.

An important prediction given in Table I is that the lifetimes of the most stable nanowires, while they do exhibit significant variations from one conductance plateau to another, do not vary systematically as a function of radius; the activation barriers in Table I vary by only about 30% from one plateau to another, and the wire with a conductance of 96$G_0$ has essentially the same lifetime as that with a conductance of 3$G_0$. In this sense, the activation barrier is found to be universal: in any conductance interval, there are very short-lived wires (not shown in Table I) with very small activation barriers, while the longest-lived wires have activation barriers of a universal size $\sigma$.[13]  

TABLE II. Fermi energy, surface tension, and typical critical length and activation barrier for various alkali and noble metals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Rb</th>
<th>Cs</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Au</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_F$ [eV]</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$ [N/m]</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_c/\bar{F}$</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{\infty}$ [meV]</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

noble-metal nanowires should have much longer lifetimes than alkali-metal nanowires, due to their larger surface tension coefficients. This prediction is consistent with experimental observations [2–7], although our estimated activation barriers for noble-metal nanowires are still too small to account for their observed stability at room temperature. This discrepancy may stem from the neglect of $d$ electrons in our model (except inasmuch as they enhance $\sigma$ compared to the free-electron value), or due to the presence of impurities which passivate the surface, thereby raising the activation barrier above its intrinsic value.
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