Surface fluctuations and the stability of metal nanowires
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The surface dynamics and thermodynamics of metal nanowires are investigated in a continuum model. Competition between surface tension and electron-shell effects leads to a rich stability diagram, with fingers of stability extending to extremely high temperatures for certain magic conductance values. The linearized dynamics of the nanowire’s surface are investigated, including both acoustic surface phonons and surface self-diffusion of atoms. On the stability boundary, the surface exhibits critical fluctuations, and the nanowire becomes inhomogeneous. Some stability fingers coalesce at higher temperatures, or exhibit overhangs, leading to reentrant behavior. The nonlinear surface dynamics of unstable nanowires are also investigated in a single-mode approximation. We find evidence that some unstable nanowires do not break, but rather neck down to the next stable radius.

I. INTRODUCTION

Metal wires play an essential role in all electrical circuits, from power distribution between cities to interconnects in integrated circuits. In today’s technology, feature sizes down to approximately 100 nm are the state of the art, but current trends, 1 consistent with Moore’s law, 2 extrapolate to 1-nm technology by 2020. A question of fundamental importance is whether metal will retain its role as the conductor of trends, 1 consistent with quantum-size effects, is unable to avoid the Rayleigh instability in long wires. 22–24

A clue to the resolution of this problem was provided by the observation of electron-shell structure in conductance histograms of alkali metal nanocontacts. 15 Like the surface tension, quantum-size effects arising from the confinement of the conduction electrons within the cross section of the wire become increasingly important as the wire is scaled down to atomic dimensions. In fact, a linear stability analysis 25 of metal nanowires within the free-electron model found that the Rayleigh instability can be completely suppressed for certain favorable radii.

In this paper, we investigate the surface dynamics and thermodynamics of simple metal nanowires in a continuum approach, in order to shed further light on their unusual stability properties. The starting point for our analysis is the formation of metal nanocontacts in scanning tunneling microscopy experiments 19 and predicting noncrystalline order in nanowires. 22 However, this approach, which neglects quantum-size effects, is unable to avoid the Rayleigh instability in long wires. 22–24

A great deal of experimental evidence has accumulated over the past decade, however, indicating that metal nanowires considerably thinner than the above estimate can be fabricated by a number of different techniques. 11–18 Even wires with lengths significantly exceeding their circumference were found to be remarkably stable, 13,14,17 indicating that some new mechanism must intervene to prevent their breakup.

An important technique which has been used to model the energetics of metal nanowires is classical molecular dynamics, 19–24 which utilizes short-ranged interatomic potentials optimized to fit the bulk properties of solids. This technique has had considerable success, including predicting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>$\sigma_y$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\sigma_s$ (N/m)</th>
<th>$\gamma_y$ (pN)</th>
<th>$\gamma_s$ (pN)</th>
<th>$\sigma_y/\sigma_s$ (nm)</th>
<th>$G_{\min}$ ($G_0$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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thermodynamic stability diagram shown in Fig. 1. Competition between surface tension and electron-shell effects leads to a complex landscape of stable fingers and arches extending up to very high temperatures: cylindrical wires whose electrical conductance is a magic number $1, 3, 6, 12, 17, 23, \ldots$ times the conductance quantum $G_0 = 2e^2/h$ are predicted to be stable with respect to small perturbations up to temperatures well above the bulk melting temperature $T_M$ by requiring the wire to be electrically neutral and $R_0$ the mean radius of the wire. The quantized conductance values of some of the stable wires are indicated.

The continuum model we employ allows for an analytical treatment of the long-wavelength surface modes used to characterize the different phases in Fig. 1, as well as a correct treatment of quantum-size effects, which are essential to stabilize long nanowires. The atomic degrees of freedom of the wire are modeled as an incompressible, irrotational fluid, and the conduction electrons are treated as a Fermi gas confined within the wire by Dirichlet boundary conditions at the surface. Electron-electron interactions are included only at a macroscopic level (by requiring the wire to be electrically neutral), since it is well known that the leading mesoscopic shell-correction to the energy is independent of interactions. Calculations including interactions at the mean-field level yield shell effects very similar to those in the free-electron model.

Modeling the atomic degrees of freedom as a fluid is motivated by the argument presented in Table I, which indicates that metal nanowires thinner than a few thousand atoms in cross section should be very plastic. The free-electron model for the conduction electrons is appropriate to describe electron-shell effects in monovalent metals, and as the values in Table I indicate, even describes some macroscopic properties of alkali metals semiquantitatively. Although the continuum approximation is not justified a priori in the limit of atomically thin wires, this model is nonetheless justified a posteriori by its success in describing simple metal clusters of comparable dimensions. Cohesion and quantum transport in gold nanocontacts were also successfully described with this model. The directionality of bonding due to contributions from $p$, $d$ and $f$ electrons is of course absent from the free-electron model, as are element-specific effects, such as the tendency toward surface reconstruction, which was argued to play an essential role in the formation of atomic chains. Nonetheless, wires with $G = G_0$ are predicted to be very stable within the free-electron model, and the strength of a metallic bond in such a wire is significantly greater than that in the bulk.

An empirical justification for our continuum model comes from experimental results indicating that electron-shell effects dominate over ionic ordering in sufficiently thin alkali metal and gold wires. Yanson et al. found an interesting interplay between electron-shell effects and atomic-shell effects in alkali metal nanowires. Electron-shell effects were found to be most important in the lighter elements lithium and sodium, presumably due to the larger Fermi energies of the conduction electrons and the lighter, more mobile ions, while atomic-shell effects were most important in the heavier element potassium. A crossover from electron-shell structure to atomic-shell structure in the limit of comparable dimensions. Cohesion and quantum transport in gold nanowires was also successfully described with this model.

These fascinating experimental results cry out for deeper theoretical investigations of the stability and structure of metal nanowires. While the geometry of the nanowires studied in Refs. 15, 16, and 18 was not directly determined, they may be rather short due to the fabrication method, so that the connection to the contacts may play an important role. In this paper, we study the more theoretically tractable and more technologically relevant—problem of the stability and surface dynamics of long metal nanowires. Our analysis should be directly relevant for the nanowires studied in Refs. 13 and 17.

II. MODEL

The continuum model we employ allows for an analytical treatment of the long-wavelength surface modes used to characterize the different phases in Fig. 1, as well as a correct treatment of quantum-size effects, which are essential to stabilize long nanowires. The atomic degrees of freedom of the wire are modeled as an incompressible, irrotational fluid, and the conduction electrons are treated as a Fermi gas confined within the wire by Dirichlet boundary conditions at the surface. Electron-electron interactions are included only at a macroscopic level (by requiring the wire to be electrically neutral), since it is well known that the leading mesoscopic shell-correction to the energy is independent of interactions. Calculations including interactions at the mean-field level yield shell effects very similar to those in the free-electron model.

Modeling the atomic degrees of freedom as a fluid is motivated by the argument presented in Table I, which indicates that metal nanowires thinner than a few thousand atoms in cross section should be very plastic. The free-electron model for the conduction electrons is appropriate to describe electron-shell effects in monovalent metals, and as the values in Table I indicate, even describes some macroscopic properties of alkali metals semiquantitatively. Although the continuum approximation is not justified a priori in the limit of atomically thin wires, this model is nonetheless justified a posteriori by its success in describing simple metal clusters of comparable dimensions. Cohesion and quantum transport in gold nanocontacts were also successfully described with this model. The directionality of bonding due to contributions from $p$, $d$ and $f$ electrons is of course absent from the free-electron model, as are element-specific effects, such as the tendency toward surface reconstruction, which was argued to play an essential role in the formation of atomic chains. Nonetheless, wires with $G = G_0$ are predicted to be very stable within the free-electron model, and the strength of a metallic bond in such a wire is significantly greater than that in the bulk.

An empirical justification for our continuum model comes from experimental results indicating that electron-shell effects dominate over ionic ordering in sufficiently thin alkali metal and gold wires. Yanson et al. found an interesting interplay between electron-shell effects and atomic-shell effects in alkali metal nanowires. Electron-shell effects were found to be most important in the lighter elements lithium and sodium, presumably due to the larger Fermi energies of the conduction electrons and the lighter, more mobile ions, while atomic-shell effects were most important in the heavier element potassium. A crossover from electron-shell structure to atomic-shell structure in the limit of comparable dimensions. Cohesion and quantum transport in gold nanowires was also successfully described with this model.

These fascinating experimental results cry out for deeper theoretical investigations of the stability and structure of metal nanowires. While the geometry of the nanowires studied in Refs. 15, 16, and 18 was not directly determined, they may be rather short due to the fabrication method, so that the connection to the contacts may play an important role. In this paper, we study the more theoretically tractable and more technologically relevant—problem of the stability and surface dynamics of long metal nanowires. Our analysis should be directly relevant for the nanowires studied in Refs. 13 and 17.

III. LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS

In our continuum model, the atomic degrees of freedom are completely determined by the surface coordinates of the
wire. Motivated by the fact that only modes which preserve axial symmetry participate in the surface-tension driven instability of a cylinder,

\[ R(t) = R_0 + \sum_n b(q_n, t) e^{i q_n z}, \tag{1} \]

where \( R(t) \) is the radius of the wire at position \( z \) and time \( t \), \( R_0 \) is the unperturbed radius, and \( b(q_n, t) = b^*(q_n, t) \) are complex Fourier coefficients. Periodic boundary conditions are assumed for a wire of length \( L \), so that \( q_n = 2 \pi n / L \), with \( n \) an integer bounded by \( |n| \leq N \approx k_F L / \pi \) (a lattice cutoff). Since the total number of atoms comprising the nanowire is unchanged by the perturbation, \( b(0, t) \) is related to the other \( b(q_n, t) \) by volume conservation

\[ b(0, t) + \frac{b^2(0, t)}{2 R_0} = -\frac{1}{R_0} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |b(q_n, t)|^2, \tag{2} \]

and may be eliminated.

For small perturbations, the grand canonical potential of the electron gas is quadratic in the Fourier coefficients \( b(q_n, t) \), and determines the potential energy \( U \) of the ions in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation,

\[ U = U_0(R_0, T) + L \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha(q_n; R_0, T) |b(q_n, t)|^2, \tag{3} \]

where \( U_0(R_0, T) \) is the potential energy of an unperturbed cylinder:

\[ \frac{U_0(R, T)}{L} = \pi R^2 \sigma + 2 \pi R \sigma_\gamma - \pi \sigma_\gamma + V(R, T). \tag{4} \]

Here \( \sigma \) is the macroscopic free energy density of the electron gas, \( \sigma_\gamma \) is the surface tension, \( \gamma \) is the surface curvature energy (c.f. Table I), and \( V \) is a mesoscopic electron-shell correction. The mode stiffness \( \alpha(q; R_0, T) \) has the following form in the semiclassical approximation, valid for long-wavelength perturbations:

\[ \alpha(q; R, T) = 2 \pi \sigma_\gamma R + 2 \pi (\sigma_\gamma R - \gamma) q^2 + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} V(R, T), \tag{5} \]

where

\[ V(R, T) = \frac{2 e_F}{\pi} \sum_{w=1}^{\infty} \sum_{v=2w}^{\infty} \frac{a_{vw}(T) f_{vw}}{v^2 L_{vw}} \cos(k_F L_{vw} - 3 v \pi / 2). \tag{6} \]

The sum in Eq. (6) includes all classical periodic orbits \((v, w)\) in a disk billiard (see Fig. 2). \( L_{vw} = 2 v R \sin(\pi w / v) \) is the length of an orbit, the factor \( f_{vw} = 1 \) for \( v = 2w \). Otherwise, accounts for the invariance under time-reversal symmetry of some orbits, and \( a_{vw}(T) = \tau_{vw} / \sinh(\tau_{vw} / T) \) is a temperature-dependent damping factor.\(^{27,36}\)

Since \( \partial^2 / \partial q^2 > 0 \) for all physically meaningful radii, long-wavelength perturbations cost the least energy, and the stability of the wire is determined by the sign of \( A(R_0, T) = \alpha(q = 0; R_0, T) \). For \( A(R_0, T) > 0 \), a nanowire is stable with respect to all small perturbations, and is hence a metastable thermodynamic state. For \( A(R_0, T) < 0 \), the nanowire is unstable. The stability diagram so determined is shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 1, the values \( \sigma_\gamma = \sigma_\gamma(FEM) \) and \( \gamma \), appropriate for alkali metals, were used (cf. Table I). For larger values of \( \sigma_\gamma \) (e.g., for noble metals), the maximum temperatures (in units of \( T_F \)) of the stable fingers are reduced somewhat, but the stability diagram is qualitatively similar.

Further insight into the stability criterion \( A > 0 \) is provided by the identity

\[ A(R_0, T) = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R_0^2} - \frac{1}{R_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial R_0} \right) \frac{U_0(R_0, T)}{L}. \tag{7} \]

The wire can lower its potential energy via a volume-conserving separation into thicker and thinner segments if and only if \( A < 0 \), \( A < 0 \) thus corresponds to an inhomogeneous phase, while \( A > 0 \) corresponds to a homogeneous phase.

Our analysis of stability in terms of the convexity of the constrained energy functional is quite different from simply comparing the energy of cylinders of different radius,\(^{22,29,38}\) which does not address the fundamental question of whether a cylinder is stable. We also point out that for a sufficiently large system, the number of atoms is conserved—neglecting sublimation—and the depletions of atoms from a finite segment of wire\(^{38}\) can be described as a finite-wavelength perturbation of a larger system.

Note that our stability analysis is carried out at fixed \( L \). The tensile force necessary to fix the length of the wire is given by \( F = -\partial U_0 / \partial L \) [plus a small correction due to surface fluctuations, cf. Eq. (23)], and was previously calculated as a function of radius in this model in Refs. 9,10, and 30. Our stability analysis is thus appropriate to describe nanowires under tensile stress, such as those studied in the experiments of Refs. 11–18. The stability of a nanowire with free ends is an open question.
IV. LINEARIZED SURFACE DYNAMICS

A. Surface phonons

We first consider inertial dynamics of the ionic background. Assuming that the ionic medium is irrotational and incompressible, its velocity distribution $\vec{v}(\vec{r}, t)$ can be written in terms of a potential satisfying the Laplace equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(\vec{r}, t) = 0,$$

where $\nabla \Phi(\vec{r}, t) = -\nabla \Phi(\vec{r}, t)$. The general solution to this equation with axial symmetry, which is regular at $r = 0$, can be written\(^4\)

$$\Phi(\vec{r}) = \Phi(r, z, t) = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} d(q_n, t) I_0(q_n r)e^{iq_n z},$$

where $I_0$ is the modified Bessel function of order zero and $r$ is the distance of an ion from the z axis.

For small deformations, the relation between the coefficients $d(q_n, t)$ in expansion (9) and the Fourier coefficients $b(q_n, t)$ of surface perturbation (1) can be determined by the condition that the radial component of the velocity at the surface is

$$v_r = -\frac{\partial \Phi(r, z, t)}{\partial r} \bigg|_{r=R_0} = \frac{\partial R(z, t)}{\partial t},$$

(10)

plus terms $O(b^3)$. Therefore, we have

$$d(q_n, t) = -\frac{1}{q_n I_1(q_n R_0)} \frac{\partial b(q_n, t)}{\partial t},$$

(11)

where $I_1$ is the first-order modified Bessel function. The kinetic energy of the ionic medium is then given by

$$K = \frac{\rho_i}{2} \int d^3 r \, \nabla \Phi^*(\vec{r}, t) \cdot \nabla \Phi(\vec{r}, t)$$

$$= L \sum_{n=1}^{N} m(q_n, R_0) \left| \frac{\partial b(q_n, t)}{\partial t} \right|^2,$$

(12)

where $\rho_i$ is the ionic mass density, and

$$m(q, R) = \frac{2\pi R I_0(q R)}{q I_1(q R)}.$$

(13)

Details of the derivation of Eqs. (12) and (13) are given in the Appendix. Combining Eqs. (3) and (12) yields a Hamiltonian for surface phonons, with frequencies

$$\omega(q; R_0, T) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(q; R_0, T)}{m(q, R_0)}}.$$

(14)

Generically, $\omega(q) \propto q$ as $q \to 0$ due to the $q$-dependence of $m(q, R_0)$. Equation (14) thus describes acoustic surface phonons. On the stability boundary $A = 0$, one has $\omega(q) \propto q^2$ as $q \to 0$. For $A < 0$, $\omega$ is imaginary, and long-wavelength modes grow exponentially [see Fig. 5(a)].

B. Surface diffusion

Surface deformation (1) also produces a gradient in chemical potential that drives the surface atoms to diffuse—a process likely to be important for large-scale deformations.\(^3^5\)

The surface current of atoms is given by Fick’s law

$$\vec{j} = -\frac{\rho_s D_s}{k_B T} \nabla \mu,$$

(15)

where $\rho_s$ is the surface density of atoms and $D_s$ is the surface self-diffusion constant. Using the continuity equation for the surface current, Eq. (15) can be converted into a (linearized) equation of motion for the profile $R(z, t)$,

$$\frac{\partial R(z, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\rho_s D_s v_r}{k_B T} \frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial z^2},$$

(16)

where $v_r = 3 \pi^2 / k_F^2$ is the volume of an atom. The chemical potential $\mu$ of an atom is obtained by calculating the change in free energy with the addition of an atom at point $z_0$.

$$\mu(z_0, t) = U[R(z, t) + C \delta(z - z_0)] - U[R(z, t)],$$

(17)

where $C = v_r 2\pi R$ is chosen so that the volume of an atom is added. From Eq. (3), one obtains

$$\mu(z, t) = \mu_0 + \frac{\rho_s D_s v_r}{\pi R_0 k_B T} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha(q_n; R_0, T) b(q_n, t) e^{iq_n z},$$

(18)

where $\mu_0(R_0, T)$ is the chemical potential of the unperturbed cylinder. Combining Eqs. (16) and (18) yields an equation of motion for the Fourier component $b(q, t)$,

$$\frac{\partial b(q, t)}{\partial t} = -\Gamma(q; R_0, T) b(q, t),$$

(19)

where the relaxation rate

$$\Gamma(q; R_0, T) = \frac{\rho_s D_s v_r^2}{\pi R_0 k_B T} q^2 a(q; R_0, T).$$

(20)

Thus, one finds that under surface diffusion alone, a perturbed metastable wire relaxes exponentially toward a cylindrical shape. For $\alpha < 0$, the mode grows exponentially.

C. Combined dynamics

Combining inertial and diffusive processes, the linearized (classical) equation of motion for the surface modes is

$$\frac{\partial^2 b(q, t)}{\partial t^2} + \Gamma(q) \frac{\partial b(q, t)}{\partial t} + \omega^2(q) b(q, t) = 0.$$  

(21)

From the $q$ dependence of Eqs. (14) and (20), one sees that $\Gamma(q)/\omega(q) \to 0$ as $q \to 0$, indicating that diffusive processes can be neglected in this limit, at least for small deformations. In general, the relative time scales for inertial and diffusive dynamics depend on the value of $D_s$. For this quasi-one-dimensional diffusion problem, one can estimate $D_s \sim (\omega_D / \rho_s) \exp (-E_0 / k_B T)$, where $\omega_D$ is the Debye frequency.
and $E_i$ is the activation energy for surface diffusion, which is comparable to the energy of a single bond in the solid. With this estimate, one has $\omega(q) \gg \Gamma(q)$ for all $q$, indicating that the surface phonons are underdamped.

The picture of the surface dynamics of (meta)stable metal nanowires which emerges from this analysis is that there is a separation of time scales: on short time scales, the surface oscillates rapidly about the cylindrical equilibrium shape, while, on much longer time scales, surface atoms diffuse irreversibly.

V. CRITICAL SURFACE FLUCTUATIONS

In the harmonic approximation [Eqs. (3) and (12)], the total free energy $\Omega(R_0, T)$ of the nanowire is given by the free energy of the unperturbed cylinder plus the Helmholtz free energy of the surface phonons,

$$\Omega = U_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ \hbar \omega_n + 2k_B T \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_n} \right) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where $\omega_n = \omega(q_n; R_0, T)$ and $\beta = 1/k_B T$. The equilibrium tension in the wire is

$$F = -\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial L} = -\frac{\partial U_0}{\partial L} + \delta F_{\text{phonon}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where the main contribution $-\partial U_0/\partial L$ was previously calculated in Refs. 9, 10, and 30, and $\delta F_{\text{phonon}}$ is a small correction that is singular at the stability boundaries, where the surface modes become soft.

The softening of the surface modes on the stability boundaries leads to critical surface fluctuations. Given the stiffness [Eq. (5)] and frequency [Eq. (14)] of the surface modes, the mean-square thermal fluctuation $\delta R^2$ of the radius of the nanowire can be calculated in the usual way,

$$\delta R^2 = \langle (R - R_0)^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\hbar \omega_n}{\alpha(q_n; R_0, T)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

where $f(\omega) = [\exp(\beta \hbar \omega) - 1]^{-1}$ is the Planck distribution. Note that, aside from a small quantum correction, the magnitude of the surface fluctuations follows from the equipartition theorem applied to Eq. (3), and is thus largely independent of the nature of the surface dynamics—whether inertial or diffusive.

Figure 3 shows $\delta R$ for nanowires of finite length at room temperature as a function of their mean radius. The upper mass and Fermi temperature to be taken were calculated within a metastable region, $k_F \delta R \ll 1$ and is approximately independent of $L$, indicating that such wires are nearly atomically smooth at this temperature. The zero-point motion contributes roughly 50% of the surface fluctuation within a stable region for sodium nanowires at $T = 300$ K. With increasing temperature, the thermal contribution to $\delta R$ grows proportional to $\sqrt{T}$, according to the equipartition theorem. Note that the harmonic approximation is expected to break down when $k_F \delta R \sim 1$.

The surface fluctuation $\delta R$ exhibits sharp peaks at the stability boundaries, reaching the value

\[ \delta R^2 (T) = \frac{4k_B T}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\sin^2 (\omega_n t)}{\alpha(q_n; R_0, T)}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

On the stability boundaries $A(R_0, T) = 0$, the dispersion relation $\omega(q) \sim q^2$, and one finds $\delta R(t) \sim t^{1/4}$ asymptotically for times $\omega_1^{-1} \gg t \gg \omega_1^{-1}$. The dynamic exponent $z \approx 1/4$ differs from that of a planar interface due to the different dispersion relation for the surface modes.

However, all these scaling relations hold only in a limited range, since the asymptotic limit $\delta R \rightarrow \infty$ characterizing the roughening transition is unphysical in nanowires, due to

FIG. 3. Root-mean-square fluctuations of the radius of meta-stable sodium nanowires of length $L = 10R_0$, $\delta R$ is undefined for unstable wires within the harmonic approximation, and is not shown.

\[ \delta R^2 |_{A(R_0, T) = 0} = \frac{k_B T}{4\pi^3 (\sigma R_0 - \gamma)} L, \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

plus a small quantum correction. $\delta R$ thus scales as $L^{1/2}$ on the stability boundary, like the finite-size scaling at the roughening transition of a planar interface. At $T = 0$, $\delta R$ remains small and approximately independent of $L$ on the stability boundary. The absence of critical surface fluctuations at $T = 0$ is also consistent with the behavior of planar interfaces.

The stability boundary $A(R_0, T) = 0$ defines a (multiple-valued) critical temperature $T_c = T_c(R_0)$ as a function of the mean radius, or alternatively a critical mean radius $R_c = R_c(T)$ as a function of temperature (see Fig. 1). Within the harmonic approximation, $\delta R$ grows with an exponent $\nu = -1/4$ as $R_0 \rightarrow R_c$ or $T \rightarrow T_c$, as expected from the Ornstein-Zernike fluctuation theory. This critical behavior, which is cut off when $\delta R$ approaches the value given in Eq. (25), is illustrated in Fig. 3.

One can also study the time dependence of $\delta R$ for an initially cylindrical wire undergoing thermal fluctuations. Using the classical equipartition theorem, for each mode we have

\[ \langle |b(q_n, t)|^2 \rangle = \frac{2k_B T}{L} \frac{\sin^2 (\omega_n t)}{\alpha(q_n; R_0, T)}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

where $\sin^2 (\omega_n t)$ describes the standing capillary waves. The surface fluctuations then grow as a function of time according to

\[ \delta R^2 (t) = \frac{4k_B T}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\sin^2 (\omega_n t)}{\alpha(q_n; R_0, T)}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)
VI. REENTRANT BEHAVIOR

Perhaps most interesting is the reentrant behavior occurring on the arches and overhangs in the stability diagram (Fig. 1). For instance, a wire with \( k_F R_0 = 19 \) is metastable and homogeneous in the temperature interval \( T_{c1} < T < T_{c2} \), with \( T_{c1} \approx 0.0072 T_F \) and \( T_{c2} \approx 0.046 T_F \). The surface exhibits its critical fluctuations as \( T \to T_{c1}^+ \) or \( T \to T_{c2}^- \), at which points the wire makes a transition to an inhomogeneous phase. The transition at \( T_{c2} \) is conventional, in the sense that the inhomogeneous phase is the high-entropy phase. However, the inhomogeneous phase below \( T_{c1} \) has lower entropy than the homogeneous phase above \( T_{c1} \). Figure 4(a) shows the total entropy \( S = -\partial \Omega / \partial T \) of the nanowire as a function of temperature, including both electron and phonon contributions, where \( \Omega \) is given by Eq. (22). The electronic entropy is regular at the critical points, but the phonon entropy is singular in the harmonic approximation, due to the emergence of soft surface modes. The singular contribution to the phonon entropy is

\[
S_{\text{sing}}(R_0, T) = -\frac{\hbar}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(\omega_n) \frac{\partial \Omega(q_n; R_0, T)}{\partial T},
\]

indicating that the softening of a phonon mode with decreasing temperature indeed leads to a decrease in entropy.

To understand the counterintuitive behavior at \( T_{c1} \), it is useful to consider the electron-shell correction \( V \) to the energy of the wire, shown in Fig. 4(b). Above \( T_{c1} \), \( V \) has a single broad minimum near \( k_F R_0 = 19 \), but as the temperature is lowered, and the fine structure in the shell potential emerges, this single minimum splits into two minima at \( k_F R_0 = 18.75 \) and 19.2. To lower its free energy, the system would like to fall into one of these two minima, but due to

VII. UNSTABLE WIRES

Finally, let us discuss the dynamics of unstable wires. Figure 5(a) shows the real and imaginary parts of the surface phonon frequency versus wavevector for a typical unstable wire. One mode \( b(q_m, t) \) grows exponentially faster than all others in the harmonic approximation, and thus may be expected to dominate. For a single Fourier component \( b(q_m) \), the potential energy \( U(b(q_m)) \) of the nanowire can be evaluated for arbitrarily large \( b \) using semiclassical perturbation theory. \( U \) may be expanded semiclassically as

\[
U = u \mathcal{V} + \sigma_s S - \gamma_s C + \delta U.
\]

The volume \( \mathcal{V} \), surface area \( S \), and integrated mean curvature \( C \) of the nanowire can be calculated for arbitrary deformations by simple geometric considerations. Using semiclassical perturbation theory,\(^{43-45}\) the electron-shell correction \( \delta U \) can again be expressed in terms of the classical periodic orbits of a disk billiard, leading to an expression similar to Eq. (6):

\[
\frac{\delta U[b(q_m)]}{L} = \frac{2 \varepsilon_F}{\pi} \sum_{w=1}^{\infty} \sum_{w=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{vw}(T) f_{vw}}{v^2 L_{vw}}
\]

\[
\times \left[ 1 + \frac{b(0)}{R_0} \cos(\theta_{vw}) J_0(\phi_{vw}) - \frac{2 b(q_m)}{R_0} \sin(\theta_{vw}) J_1(\phi_{vw}) \right].
\]
where \( \theta_{cw} = k_F L_{cw}(1 + b(0)/R_0) - 3v \pi/2 \), \( \phi_{cw} = 2k_F L_{cw} b(q_m)/R_0 \), \( J_i \) is the \( i \)th order Bessel function, and \( b(0) \) is related to \( b(q_m) \) by Eq. (2). The result is shown in Fig. 5(b). Although the wire is unstable to breakup under a hypothetical long-wavelength perturbation, the energy of the fastest growing mode reaches a minimum at a finite amplitude, suggesting that the surface deformation saturates, and that the wire does not break up, but rather necks down to the next stable radius. A similar scenario is predicted under the diffusive dynamics of Eq. (19). An explicit nonlinear dynamical simulation\(^3\) confirms these predictions.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

The stability and surface dynamics of metal nanowires were investigated in a continuum approach, including electron-shell effects. A thermodynamic phase diagram for jellium nanowires was derived, which predicts that cylindrical wires with certain “magic” conductance values are stable with respect to small perturbations up to remarkably high temperatures. On the stability boundary, the surface exhibits critical fluctuations, and the nanowire becomes inhomogeneous. Both surface phonons and surface self-diffusion of atoms were included in the linearized surface dynamics. It was found that inertial dynamics (phonons) always dominate the long-wavelength behavior, including the critical points. (It must be emphasized, however, that this conclusion holds only for small perturbations of the surface. The irreversible diffusion of surface atoms is undoubtedly crucial for large-scale surface deformations.\(^3\)) An interesting reentrant behavior was predicted, in which a straight wire is stabilized at intermediate temperatures, but undergoes phase separation into thick and thin segments as the temperature is lowered. Finally, for unstable wires, the surface deformation was found to grow exponentially, dominated by a single Fourier component, and to saturate at a finite amplitude, indicating that unstable wires may not break, but rather neck down to the next stable radius.

The results presented in this paper should be directly relevant for nanowires made of monovalent metals, especially the alkali metals and gold, for which there is clear experimental evidence of electron-shell effects.\(^15,16,18\) Moreover, this simple model may provide qualitative insight into the generic surface properties of metal nanowires, which could guide investigations of more realistic, material-specific models.
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APPENDIX: IONIC KINETIC ENERGY

Here we present some details of the derivation of expression (12) for the kinetic energy of the ions. Inserting Eq. (9) into the first line of Eq. (12) and performing the \( z \) integral, one obtains

\[
K = \pi \rho_i L \sum_n \int_0^{R_0} r \, dr \left[ q_n^2 I^2_{n} + q_n^2 I^2_{n} \right] \left| d(q_n, t) \right|^2.
\]

(A1)

Using the relation \( I'_0(x) = I_1(x) \) and the identity

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left[ x I_m(x) I'_m(x) \right] = x \left[ I_m^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{m^2}{x^2} \right) I_m^2 \right],
\]

(A2)

the radial integral in Eq. (A1) may be performed, leading to the result

\[
K = \pi \rho_i L \sum_{n=-N}^{N} q_n R_0 I_0(q_n R_0) I_1(q_n R_0) \left| d(q_n, t) \right|^2.
\]

(A3)

Finally, eliminating \( d(q_n, t) \) from Eq. (A3) using relation (11), one obtains the second line of Eq. (12).

An analysis of the lifetime of these metastable states would be necessary to fully address this issue.

A similar assumption was employed to study the vibrational spectrum of metal clusters by C. Yannouleas and U. Landman, J. Phys. Chem. A 102, 2505 (1998).